Benzylation of α -Diimine Ligands Bound to Zirconium and Hafnium. A New Convenient Route to Olefin Polymerization Catalysts

Kazushi Mashima,* Ryuji Ohnishi, Tsuneaki Yamagata, and Hayato Tsurugi Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-8531

(Received August 22, 2007; CL-070900; E-mail: mashima@chem.es.osaka-u.ac.jp)

Reactions of $M(CH_2Ph)_4$ (M = Zr and Hf) with α -diimine ligands 1 at -78 °C selectively afford tribenzyl amido-imino complexes of zirconium 4 and hafnium 5, whose treatment with $B(C_6F_5)$ ₃ gave the corresponding cationic dibenzyl complexes 6 and 7 capable of polymerizing 1-hexene.

In the last two decades, development of homogeneous olefin polymerization catalysts bearing metallocene fragments has been remarkable, and recent effort has aimed at developing the non-metallocene catalysts.¹ Among these non-metallocene catalysts, different types of imine-based ligands have attracted special interest because these nitrogen-based ligands have been flexibly designed and their complexes have exhibited unique catalytic performance for olefin polymerization.^{2,3} We already reported the benzyl transfer reaction of tetrabenzylzirconium with iminopyrroles, giving amido–pyrrolyl zirconium catalysts for olefin polymerization.⁴ Similar alkylation of the imine moiety of phenoxy–imine ligands was reported by Scott and his co-workers: the reaction of $Zr(CH_2Ph)_4$ with phenoxy–imine ligands afforded the corresponding amido–phenoxy complexes.⁵ In addition, reduction and alkylation of the imine group has been observed for imine-based olefin polymerization catalysts combined with any alkylaluminum cocataysts. $6-10$ As our continuous interest in polymerization catalysts of early transition metals bearing such the imine-based ligands,4,11 we focused our attention to the reaction between alkyl–metal compounds with α -diimine ligands, whose possible transformations (A–D) are illustrated in Scheme 1. Herein, we communicate our preliminary results on the systematic reaction of various α -diimine ligands with $M(CH_2Ph)_4$ (M = Zr and Hf), selectively producing two complexes A and B as olefin polymerization catalysts, by varying the substituents on the nitrogen atoms of the α -diimine ligands and kind of metal center, though the benzylation reaction was independently reported by the group of Dow Chemical Company.¹²

Treatment of 1,4-dixylyl-1,4-diaza-1,3-butadiene (1a) with $Zr(CH_2Ph)_4$ and $Hf(CH_2Ph)_4$ at $-78 °C$ selectively afforded the corresponding amido–imino complexes $4a$ and $5a$, 13,14 which are products of benzyl transfer from metal to one of C=N bonds of the α -diimine ligand 1a, respectively, giving intermediate species 2a and 3a, followed by the hydrogen transfer (Scheme 2). The ¹HNMR spectra of **4a** and **5a** display one set of signals at-

Scheme 2.

tributable to the benzylated dissymmetric amido–imino ligand together with two singlets in a 3:1 ratio due to two kinds of methylene protons of the benzyl groups bound to the metal and the ligand, respectively. The observation of only one singlet signal for benzyl groups bound to the metal indicates a rapid exchange of three benzyl groups on the NMR time scale. Figure 1 shows the crystal structure of $4a$,¹⁵ whose structure is essentially the same as that of the reported compound $4b$, 12 adopting a distorted trigonal bipyramidal with N1, C26, and C33 in trigonal planar (sum of the angles around the zirconium atom $= 359.94^{\circ}$) and N2 and C40 at apical positions. Dissymmetric ligation is revealed by a significantly longer Zr–N (imino) bond $(2.445(3)$ Å) than the Zr–N (amido) bond $(2.121(3)$ Å) and a shorter C(1)=N (imino) bond $(1.301(4)$ Å) compared to the C(2)–N (amido) $(1.450(4)$ Å) bond. In solid state, one benzyl group coordinates in an η^2 -fashion to the zirconium atom, having a rather short Zr–C(27) (2.651(3) \AA) bond and an acute angle Zr–C26–C27 $(87.06(18)°)$.

The reaction pattern of such the benzylation of the ligand highly depends on the substitutents on the nitrogen atoms of the ligand as well as the kind of metal.¹³ Hafnium complexes 5b–5e were obtained by the reactions of 1b–1e with $Hf(CH₂Ph)₄$. When cyclohexyl group was introduced as the substituents of the α -diimine ligand, the benzylation of 1f by $Hf(CH₂Ph)₄$ resulted in the selective formation of an amido–imino complex $3f$, in which a simple benzylation of the C=N bond of 1f proceeded and no intramolecular hydrogen transfer occurred. Similarly, tert-butyl ligand 1g reacted with $HfCH₂Ph)₄$ to give 3g. At room temperature, complex 3f is thermally stable, while 3g decomposes gradually. Notable spectral feature of 3f and 3g is that benzyl methylene protons are observed as an ABX pattern coupled with the methin proton. The reactions of $Zr(CH_2Ph)_4$ with 1b and 1d, respectively, afforded 4b and 4d,

Table 1. Polymerization of 1-hexene by complexes $3-5^a$

Entry	Cat.	Activity ^b	$M_{\rm w}$ ^c (10^3)	$M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n}^{\rm c}$	Tacticity ^d
	4а	3.6	bimodal		
2	4b	2.9	2.29	1.99	atactic
3	5a	3.4	7.84	2.27	atactic
4	5b	5.6	4.39	2.03	atactic
5	3f	trace			

^aPolymerization conditions: cat. 10 μ mol; B(C₆F₅)₃ 10 μ mol; $[1-Hexene] = 2.5 M$; $[Cat.] = 5.0 mM$ in chlorobenzene, time 6 h; temperature 25° C. b Kg-polymer/mol-cat \cdot h. c Determined by GPC. d Determined by $\frac{13}{2}$ C NMR spectroscopic data.¹⁷

Figure 1. Drawing of the molecular structure of 4a. Solvent molecule and all hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

while the reaction with less bulky substituted ligands 1c, 1e, 1f, and 1g resulted in the decomposition, in consistent with the less stable Zr–C bond compared with Hf–C bond.2a

Zirconium and hafnium amido–imino complexes 4a, 4b and 5a, 5b together with 3f were tested as catalyst precursors for 1 hexene polymerization upon treated with $B(C_6F_5)_3$ as cocatalyst, and results are shown in Table $1¹³$ Complexes 4a, 4b and 5a, 5b showed moderate catalytic activity, and the polymerization proceeded through cationic dibenzyl species; the reactions of these tribenzyl complexes with $B(C_6F_5)_3$ in bromobenzene- d_5 afforded the corresponding zwitterionic dibenzyl complexes 6a, 6b and 7a, 7b, whose ¹⁹FNMR data for $\Delta\delta(m, p\text{-F})$ indicated the formation of the separated anion of $[PhCH_2B(C_6F_5)_3]^{-16}$ In contrast, complex 3f showed no activity because of thermal unstability of cationic species derived by 3f and $B(C_6F_5)_3$.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that the substituent of the nitrogen atom of the α -diimine ligand controls the reaction pattern with $M(CH_2Ph)_4$ (M = Zr and Hf): (1) benzylation of 1,4-diaryl-1,4-diaza-1,3-butadienes followed by the hydrogen transfer within the ligands, giving amido–imino complexes 4 and 5 (Type B) through the intermediate complexes 2 and 3 and (2) benzylation of the dialkyl-substituted α -diimine ligands

by $Hf(CH₂Ph)₄$, giving another amido–imino hafnium complexes 3 (Type A). Complexes 4a, 4b and 5a, 5b serve as catalyst precursors for 1-hexene polymerization upon activated by $B(C_6F_5)_3$. Thus, the convenient one-pot synthesis of catalyst precursors for olefin polymerization not only extends the flexibility in the design of precatalysts for olefin polymerization but also provides a new insight into possible side reaction of the catalysts bearing imine-based ligands because of easy alkylation of the imino moiety upon coordinated to early transition metals.

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas ''Advanced Molecular Transformations of Carbon Resources'' from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan.

References and Notes

- 1 For recent reviews, see: a) V. C. Gibson, S. K. Spitzmesser, Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 283. b) Y. Qian, J. Huang, M. D. Bala, B. Lian, H. Zhang, H. Zhang, Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2633. c) G. W. Coates, Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 1223.
- 2 Some leading papers: a) K. V. Axenov, M. Klinga, O. Lehtonen, H. T. Koskela, M. Leskelä, T. Repo, Organometallics 2007, 26, 1444 and references cited therein. b) S. Matsui, M. Mitani, J. Saito, Y. Tohi, H. Makio, N. Matsukawa, Y. Takagi, K. Ysuru, M. Nitabaru, T. Nakano, H. Tanaka, N. Kashiwa, T. Fujita, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 6847. c) J. Tian, G. W. Coates, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3626.
- 3 Reviews: a) Y. Suzuki, H. Terao, T. Fujita, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2003, 76, 1493. b) K. Mashima, H. Tsurugi, J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 4414. c) G. W. Coates, P. D. Hustad, S. Reinartz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2236.
- a) H. Tsurugi, T. Yamagata, K. Tani, K. Mashima, Chem. Lett. 2003, 32, 756. b) H. Tsurugi, Y. Matsuo, T. Yamagata, K. Mashima, Organometallics 2004, 23, 2797.
- a) P. R. Woodman, N. W. Alcock, I. J. Munslow, C. J. Sanders, P. Scott, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2000, 3340. b) P. D. Knight, P. N. O'Shaughnessy, I. J. Munslow, B. S. Kimberley, P. Scott, J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 683, 103. c) P. D. Knight, G. Clarkson, M. L. Hammond, B. S. Kimberley, P. Scott, J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 5125.
- 6 T. Yasumoto, T. Yamagata, K. Mashima, Chem. Lett. 2007, 36, 1030.
- J. Saito, Y. Suzuki, H. Makio, H. Tanaka, M. Onda, T. Fujita, Macromolecules 2006, 39, 4023.
- 8 M. Lamberti, M. Consolmagno, M. Mazzeo, C. Pellecchia, Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2005, 26, 1866.
- 9 M. Zimmermann, K. W. Törnroos, R. Anwander, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3126.
- 10 D. Reardon, F. Conan, S. Gambarotta, G. Yap, Q. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 9318.
- 11 a) H. Tsurugi, K. Mashima, Organometallics 2006, 25, 5210. b) T. Yasumoto, T. Yamagata, K. Mashima, Organometallics 2005, 24, 3375. c) Y. Matsuo, K. Mashima, K. Tani, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 960. d) Y. Matsuo, K. Mashima, K. Tani, Chem. Lett. 2000, 1114.
- 12 P. D. Waele, B. A. Jazdzewski, J. Klosin, R. E. Murray, C. N. Theriault, P. C. Vosejpka, J. L. Petersen, Organometallics 2007, 26, 3896.
- 13 Experimental details are given in Supporting Information, which is available electronically on the CSJ-Journal web site, http://www.csj. jp/journals/chem-lett/.
- 14 On monitoring the reaction, we observed the formation 2 and 3 as transient species, which gradually disappeared. In contrast, the same reaction carried out at room temperature afforded ene–amido dibenzyl complexes (Type D) as by-roducts, whose formation was in consistent to the observation reported in Ref. 12.
- 15 Crystal data for 4a is given in CIF format.
- 16 A. D. Horton, J. de With, A. J. van der Linden, H. van de Weg, Organometallics 1996, 15, 2672.
- 17 T. Asakura, M. Demura, Y. Nishiyama, Macromolecules 1991, 24, 2334.